

**VILNIUS GEDIMINAS TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY STUDENTS' REPRESENTATION
AT THE BUSINESS MANAGEMENT FACULTY
PROTOCOL OF THE REPORTIVE–ELECTORAL REPRESENTATIVES' CONFERENCE**

October 7, 2025

Saulėtekio Ave. 11, S2 A03

Start of conference registration - 19:00 val.

Chairperson of the conference: Gijas Mamedov

Secretaries of the conference: Smiltė Drevinskaitė ir Meda Mikelaitytė

CONFERENCE AGENDA:

1. Registration;
2. Opening of the conference;
3. Election of the conference chairperson and secretaries;
4. Approval of the agenda;
5. Election of the vote counting commission;
6. Quorum checking;
7. Presentation of the VGTU SR BMF activity report;
8. Question session;
9. Presentation of the VGTU SR BMF financial report;
10. Question session;
11. Approval of the VGTU SR BMF activity and financial reports;
12. Presentation of candidates for the position of VGTU SR BMF chairperson;
13. Question session;
14. Election of the VGTU SR BMF chairperson;
15. Presentation of the results;
16. Closing of the conference.

Chairperson of the conference
Secretary of the conference
Secretary of the conference

Gijas Mamedov
Smiltė Drevinskaitė
Meda Mikelaitytė

1. Registration

18 out of 25 representatives have registered for the conference. **The quorum is met, so the conference can proceed.**

2. Opening of the conference

Goda Šarauskaitė, chairperson of VGTU SR BMF, opens the conference.

3. Election of the conference chairperson and secretaries

- Gijas Mamedov has been nominated as the chairperson of the conference..
Approved by consensus.
- Smiltė Drevinskaitė and Meda Mikelaitytė have been nominated as the secretaries of the conference. *Approved by consensus.*

4. Approval of the agenda

The agenda was approved by consensus.

5. Election of the vote counting commission

Suggested for vote counting commission: Matas Šlyžius (FIIf-23), Kornelijus Buivydas (FIIf-23), Maksimilijanas Kurilov (guest), Paulina Avižienytė (guest), Austėja Bernatavičiūtė (Central Office), Erikas Banys (OVf-25) and Tadas Munikas (ECF-22).
Elected to the vote counting commission by consensus: Matas Šlyžius (FIIf-23), Kornelijus Buivydas (FIIf-23), Maksimilijanas Kurilov (guest), Paulina Avižienytė (guest), Austėja Bernatavičiūtė (Central Office), Erikas Banys (OVf-25) and Tadas Munikas (ECF-22).

6. Quorum checking

Tikrinamas kvorumas. Konferencijoje dalyvauja **18** seniūnų iš 25. **Kvorumas yra, konferencija gali vykti.**

Quorum check in progress. **18** out of 25 representatives are participating in the conference. **The quorum is met, the conference may proceed.**

7. Presentation of the VGTU SR BMF activity report

VGTU SR F chairperson Goda Šarauskaitė presents the VGTU SR BMF activity report for the period from 20 March 2025 to 7 October 2025.

8. Question session

Goda Šarauskaitė, chairperson of VGTU SR BMF, answers questions regarding the VGTU SR BMF activity report for the period from March 20, 2025, to October 7, 2025.

Martynas Šimkus (VPVF-22): I wanted to ask specifically which values SEB violated and what actions they took that made cooperation impossible?

Answer: As I mentioned during the report, Martynas, SEB is included on our red list, which means that it cooperates, works, and maintains connections with Russia.

9. Presentation of the VGTU SR BMF financial report

VGTU SR BMF chairperson Goda Šarauskaitė presents the VGTU SR BMF financial report for the period from 20 March 2025 to 7 October 2025.

10. Question session

VGTU SR BMF chairperson Goda Šarauskaitė answers questions regarding the VGTU SR BMF financial report for the period from 20 March 2025 to 7 October 2025.

There are no questions

11. VGTU SA VVF veiklos ir finansinės ataskaitų tvirtinimai

Balsavime dėl VGTU SA VVF veiklos ataskaitos pasisakė:

Number of representatives participating in the vote– 18

For – 18

Against– 0

Abstained – 0

Balsavime dėl VGTU SA VVF finansinės ataskaitos pasisakė:

Number of representatives participating in the vote– 18

For – 18

Against– 0

Abstained – 0

12. .Presentation of candidates for the position of VGTU SR BMF chairperson

Candidates for the position of chairperson of VGTU SR BMF introduce themselves:

Vytautas Jasiulionis.

13. Question session

Candidates for the position of chairperson of the VGTU SR BMF answer the questions that arise.

Paula Plaitytė (Control commission):

You talked about how one of your goals would be to disseminate accurate and useful information, from the representative side to the students, as I understand it. So, my question is perhaps one — how do you evaluate the current, well, over this past year, what has happened during this term, and the SR BMF communication on social media to the students? What would you aim to change or not change, and what kind of useful and accurate information — how would you ensure that it is truly valuable to the students?

Answer: Goda and I have discussed this topic, and during her term, it became necessary to change the coordinator of public affairs. After the coordinator was replaced — the same person who will be in my team — the situation definitely improved. As for how I

would like to contribute to that change, it would be by posting completed activities on public social media channels and also adding memos.

Gijas Mamedov (Alumni): During the presentation, I felt there was a slight lack of clarity — what will be the main focus of your term, the reason that made you decide to run for this position?

Answer: I have a lot of motivation to run for this position. I believe that, answering your question, Goda contributed a lot to my motivation — just by sharing about the activities. I know the work will be challenging, but I truly believe that by the end of my term, I will definitely know that representing the students is worth it. So perhaps this is a very general goal, but the specific reason I am running is that I know by the end of my term I will be truly satisfied with the fact that I did it.

Adding to the question: What specific task would be the top priority at this moment for you to start your work?

Answer: At this moment, it would be publicizing the completed tasks, as I mentioned, collaborating with faculties we don't work with very often, and, of course, simply getting fully involved in the activities.

Martynas Šimkus (VPVF-22): What could you accomplish by collaborating with other faculties?

Answer: I believe that currently we don't have a specific plan for working with the faculties, but as I mentioned in my last goal, it is very broad and undefined, yet it holds the greatest potential. So, I think our team's goal would be to embrace all the opportunities that come our way.

Paula Plaitytė (Control commission): I want to ask, what three things do you think are currently the biggest challenges for BMF students — what hurts them the most?

Answer: Thank you for the question, it's a very good one. I believe there are problems in every faculty right now, so I can't quickly come up with exactly three specific issues.

Adding to the question: Maybe not necessarily the most painful ones, but just any three problems. You are a student yourself, you have fellow students in your group, and you are also in the student representation — what are three specific issues that BMF students face, things that affect them deeply?

Answer: Currently, some courses in certain study programs could be considered unnecessary from the perspective of other students — when a student comes to a lecture and just sits there for the sake of sitting. I believe such courses do exist, but I don't think this problem is exclusive to the faculty of business management. I think every faculty can face this issue. So, that would be one of the problems.

Ervin Borkovski (President of Vilnius Tech SR): I think Vytautas is feeling a bit stressed, so let's encourage him with applause for at least running today — for standing here before us and answering all these questions. I would like to ask a somewhat simpler question. What values did you follow when selecting candidates for your team? We all saw your presentation, but it's very interesting to know what principles guided you in choosing them.

Answer: The main value I believe I used when selecting my team was trust. Also — assessing how much motivation they have to get involved and how much desire they have to join this representative community.

Adding to the question: So, as I understand, the greatest values are trust and motivation. What about responsibility — would that also be one of your values when selecting people for your team?

Answer: Responsibility is definitely one of the values, as well as competence. So, I believe these four values describe my thought process.

Dagnė Kvietkutė (guest): One of your goals was to actively represent the faculty students and their interests. Adding to this point, you mentioned SPC, FSC and that you are a senator. Do you have any plans for your members, since they are also student representatives?

Answer: Currently, the SPC operates specifically with our student representatives, so the SPC's activity is the main one. I plan to change the FSC's responsibilities — to delegate them to the vice-chairpersons. This is exactly how I would aim to involve all representatives.

Adding to the question: How about with the other members — would you focus more on faster-paced training to represent business management students, or first provide basic knowledge?

Answer: I believe that initially, general knowledge — both social and academic — would still be needed. That is the current priority; I don't think the focus should be on preparing representatives specifically, but rather on preparing all members of the representation as competent people with knowledge of social and academic affairs.

Martynas Šimkus (VPVF-22): I wanted to ask you, since, as I understand, we are electing you for half a year, what preparation work have you and your team done? Because, as you know, the majority of the time, at least at the beginning of the term — two or three months — is spent on integration itself. So, what have you done with your team to make the integration into your term smoother?

Answer: My entire team, including myself, had one form or another of the “Competent leadership” program — almost all members were trained by former team coordinators. Regarding specific tasks I accomplished, I contributed to the finances of our first-year students' camp in that capacity, as chairperson. Now, my social and academic affairs coordinators are taking the protocol of this conference, the vice-chairpersons are also working very closely with the current vice-chairperson to prepare this conference, and the human resources coordinators have already sent emails this week to all other human resources coordinators to coordinate the next steps. I believe that my team is truly prepared — there is definitely room for improvement, which is why we have enrolled in the central office's “Competent leadership” program. That, I think, is all.

Ervin Borkovski (President of Vilnius Tech SR): I would now suggest opening the slides where you had listed the objectives, so perhaps the conference participants will have

more questions to ask. If I'm not mistaken, you mentioned something about mandatory attendance, but I come with a slightly different question — perhaps regarding the position of the Business Management faculty students' representation on this matter, concerning mandatory attendance for both practical and theoretical classes. So, what would be your position on this issue as chairperson?

Answer: My position, I believe, aligns with the majority of students — on this issue, mandatory attendance, especially for faculties like ours and the faculty of Creative Industries, is certainly something that may hinder pursuing activities outside the university. Therefore, my opinion on the whole matter of mandatory attendance would be negative.

Martynas Šimkus (VPVF-22): This is more related to your objectives — a suggestion. Our dean aimed to establish at least hybrid studies for bachelor students of the Business Management faculty. So, as a suggestion, this is not a question, but you could try to discuss it with the dean and perhaps develop something further.

Answer: Thank you for the suggestion.

Goda Šarauskaitė (FIF-23): So, I've just finished my term — it feels really, really nice. From your perspective, since you weren't part of the team, what do you think was the biggest shortcoming or weakness of my team and our activities?

Answer: As I mentioned, we have discussed this issue before, and on this matter, our opinions coincide. What I can say is that some coordinators of the former team did not use their positions effectively. In my opinion, every team member, every coordinator, should have a very high level of motivation and competence, which the former team sometimes lacked. As a result, a very large workload fell on the chairperson, but it simply shouldn't have been that way.

Saulius Paukštė (Central Office): I'd like to ask a more personal question — how do you plan to balance your student representation duties, if elected, with your personal life and studies?

Answer: As the saying goes — the more you take on, the more time you find. So I believe that the more I take on, I will still find the time for it, and I'm confident I can manage.

Adding to the question: And as you know yourself, quite a few chairpersons tend to change during these conferences — aren't you afraid of burning out?

Answer: Burn out, you said, right? I think that fear is always there, and you can't really change it, but I still know myself best, and I believe I will definitely manage the future.

Martynas Šimkus (VPVF-22): Since we've started talking about more personal things, could you name at least two negative and two positive traits of yours that you think would help you during your term and benefit you?

Answer: My two positive traits are a mild form of perfectionism — I really like to think that I pay attention to details, and if I do something, I do it thoroughly. The second positive trait is punctuality. I think you could ask any member of my team — I don't like being late and I really dislike it when others are late, which, by the way, can also be considered a negative. I believe my traits can be both good and bad — the same trait can be evaluated from both perspectives. The same goes for perfectionism, which can be seen as both a positive and a negative trait. So, I think you wanted four traits — I've mentioned two that fit all four.

Ervin Borkovski (President of Vilnius Tech SR): Your faculty has a large number of international students, so how do you generally envision the next step in integrating international students, perhaps with the student representation, or within your faculty? If possible, please answer this question in English.

Answer: I think that I'll be able to answer your question in English. International students are a big part of our faculty, they have always been a big part of our faculty, this year I think more than, I don't know the exact numbers but the amount of international students is larger in our faculty than last year and the integration of our international students will start at our head of international student affairs coordinator

Skaistė. She has already contacted the first year student academic group representatives in our international group and I think just continuing that will be a good first step.

Ervin Borkovski (President of Vilnius Tech SR): Let's talk about the Business Management faculty. It's no secret that the number of first-year enrollments fluctuates significantly. Over the past few years, we've had situations where enrollment numbers dropped by as much as 50%. Two years ago, rumors circulated at the university about merging the faculty of Creative Industries and the Business Management faculty into a single Social Sciences faculty. What would be your opinion as a candidate for chairperson on this matter, and how would you prepare your positions when representing students if such a merger were to happen in the future?

Answer: To be honest, I haven't heard these rumors, but I think it wouldn't be a very good idea and it's probably good that they remained just rumors, because the faculty of Creative Industries and the Business Management faculty are very different in terms of academic focus. I believe merging them into a single Social Sciences faculty would simply create unnecessary confusion.

Adding to the question: I would just like to remind you that the second part of the question was about how you would prepare your position on this matter, since you would still be representing all faculty students — how would you prepare to represent the students?

Answer: Personally, I would prepare arguments similar to what I just said, of course with much more thorough consideration than I've just expressed, but my opinion would definitely be against it, and I would make sure that my opinion is heard.

Adding to the question: What about the students' own opinions — should the students be surveyed on this matter somehow?

Answer: The students' opinions are, of course, important. I think we could conduct a survey through the representatives' program — this way we could learn the opinions of the academic groups, and of course, representatives from the faculty of Creative

Industries would also gather their collective opinion. So, if needed, I would conduct general surveys through the representatives for the entire academic group; the representatives would convey the opinion of the whole academic group to us, and this way we would collect the students' opinions.

14. Election of the VGTU SR BMF chairperson

The representatives elect a new chairperson of VGTU SR F by secret voting.

15. Presentation of the results

Votes for Vytautas Jasiulionis - 18

Votes against Vytautas Jasiulionis - 0

Abstentions - 0

16. Closing of the conference

Chairperson of the conference

Gijas Mamedov

Secretary of the conference

Meda Mikelaitytė

Secretary of the conference

Smiltė Drevinskaitė