



VILNIUS TECH
STUDENTŲ ATSTOVYBĖ

**VILNIUS GEDIMINAS TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY STUDENTS’
REPRESENTATION
AT THE CREATIVE INDUSTRIES FACULTY
PROTOCOL OF THE REPORTIVE-ELECTORAL REPRESENTATIVE
CONFERENCE**

February 3rd, 2026

Trakų str. 1, SNR – II 209

Conference registration start - 18:00 h.

Chairman of the conference: Vėjas Strelčiūnas

Secretaries of the conference: Evilija Aida Jasiūnaitė, Dominykas Borsak

CONFERENCE AGENDA:

1. Registration;
2. Opening of the conference;
3. Election of the conference’s Chairperson and Secretaries;
4. Confirmation of the agenda;
5. Election of the Vote Counting Committee;
6. Quorum check;
7. Presentation of VILNIUS TECH SR CIF activities report;
8. Q&A session;
9. Presentation of VILNIUS TECH SR CIF financial report;
10. Q&A session;
11. VILNIUS TECH CIF SR activities and financial report confirmations;
12. Candidate’s introductions for the Chairperson of VILNIUS TECH SR CIF;
13. Q&A session;
14. Election of the Chairperson for VILNIUS TECH SR CIF;
15. Presentation of vote results for the Chairperson of VILNIUS TECH SR CIF;
16. Candidate’s introductions for the President of VILNIUS TECH SR;
17. Q&A session;



VILNIUS TECH
STUDENTŲ ATSTOVYBĖ

18. Voting for the recommended candidate for the President of VILNIUS TECH SR;
19. VILNIUS TECH SR CIF Election of Delegates to the VILNIUS TECH SR Reportive-Electoral Representative Conference;
20. Closing of the conference.

1. Registration

26 out of 38 Representatives registered for the conference. **Quorum is met, the conference can proceed.**

2. Opening of the Conference

Marija Klemkaitė, Chairperson for the VILNIUS TECH SR CIF, opens the conference.

3. Election and Confirmation of the Chairperson and Secretaries of the conference.

- Vėjas Strelčiūnas is proposed for Chairperson of the conference. ***Unanimously confirmed.***
- vilija Aida Jasiūnaitė and Dominykas Borsak are proposed for Secretaries of the conference. ***Unanimously confirmed.***

4. Confirmation of the agenda

A change of agenda is proposed:

1. Candidates introductions for the President of VILNIUS TECH SR;
2. Q&A session;
3. Voting for the recommended candidate for the President of VILNIUS TECH SR;
4. Presentation of vote results for the Chairperson of VILNIUS TECH SR CIF;
5. VILNIUS TECH CIF SR Election of Delegates to the VILNIUS TECH SR Repotive-Electoral Representative Conference;

Unanimously confirmed.

The agenda is unanimously confirmed.

5. Election of the Vote Counting Committee;

Recommended to the Vote Counting Committee: Saulius Paukštė, Vytautas Jasikonis and Kotryna Juškaitė.

In a unanimous vote, elected to the Vote Counting Committee: Saulius Paukštė, Vytautas Jasikonis and Kotryna Juškaitė.

6. Quorum check

Quorum is checked. **26** out of **38** Representatives are present at the conference. **Quorum is met, the conference can proceed.**

7. Presentation of VILNIUS TECH SR CIF activities report;

Marija Klemkaitė, Chairperson for the VILNIUS TECH SR CIF presents the VILNIUS TECH SR CIF activities report for the period of October 14th, 2025 – February 3rd, 2026.

8. Q&A session

Chairperson of the VILNIUS TECH SR CIF Marija Klemkaitė answers questions that arise about the VILNIUS TECH SR CIF activities report for the period of October 14th, 2025 – February 3rd, 2026.

Miglė Česonytė PKF-22/2: To meet with Representatives of fourth-year students was only proposed two weeks ago, why is that? Was it to fill out an item and write it into the conference's report or was it something else?

The question is delegated to the Vice Chairperson Silvija Deltuvaitė.

Answer: As I have stated before, it was due to personal reasons, and it was not to fill out an item on the report. That was being done to communicate with everyone about the conference.

Miglė Česonytė PKF-22/2: I also have a question about a survey on Thesis Supervisors. Why was it not communicated, especially to fourth-year students? What was discussed in the survey? Because I remember from your Electoral Conference, you talked that we need to strengthen ties between students and the Deanery. Do you not think that not being transparent about what is going on, only worsens those ties? Because we do not know what is going on, and we don't know what are the results of such surveys.

Answer: Yes, thank you again for the question. To be honest, I do not know how to answer this question, because it just did not work out, maybe it did not work. I do not remember if anything was actually discussed with the Dean, or members of the Deanery, so I do not know how to correctly answer that question. It just did not work out.

Akvilė Šimanskaitė KIF 22/4: Question on the same topic. I just want to check if I understand this correctly: this was a job for the previous Chairperson, which you continued after you got elected, but the survey was not made or initiated by you?

Answers: Yes, the survey was not made by me, so I think it was prepared by the previous Chairperson.

Paulina Bingelytė PKF 23/2: Can you go back to the item “Strengthen students’ representation connection with academic groups”? The Elected Representatives for the first stage academic groups were already elected previously, because the election was made by the previous team. What Representatives were elected, if they already exist?

Answer: Yes, so we wrote this probably due to the fact that most of our team members were Student Mentors, and as such, it was our duty to inform our academic groups that they need to elect a Representative, so it is probably just that.

Addition to the question: But this was the previous Chairpersons job.

Answer: Then I probably cannot answer that question.

Amelija Žakšauskaitė PPF 24/1: A survey was conducted about Student Mentors, was it sent out to them, and did they receive feedback?

Answer: The results were discussed only between team members. This was due to lack of time and we did not manage to tackle the topic about Student Mentors. So we only discussed this with team members. The survey was sent to write positive/negative

words, if there were any, about the Group's Student Mentors. We only discussed it between the team members and did not manage to relay that information to the Student Mentors, themselves.

Addition to the question: So what was the point of the survey, if the results were not shared with Student Mentors.

Answer: Like I have stated before, we did not manage to do that. Also, we had limited time, but the goal was for Student Mentors to receive the answers, but they never reached them.

Gabrielė Nareckaitė KIF-22/1: What did you discuss with the Chairperson of SR VU? Did you reach any joint decision? Are you planning to create some kind of shared future together?

Answer: Thank you for the question. Indeed, it was a new experience, I am very happy, because I got an invitation from her just to meet and discuss future plans. She was very interested how our VILNIUS TECH Student Representation works, as they do some things differently. So, it was just interesting how different everything is over here. Some plans are there, but that depends on the next team. Will the next team pursue them or not, depends solely on them.

Miglė Česonytė PKF-22/2: Could it be possible to know when the survey was done?

Answers: Thank you for your question. I would want some help from our SAAC – Evilija.

The question is delegated to SAAC Coordinator Evilija Aida Jasiūnaitė.

Evilija Aida Jasiūnaitė: If I remember correctly, it was conducted after we started our term in October. So somewhere at the end of October and start of November the survey was shared and started to be answered.

Addition to the question: Do you, as Chairperson, try to get what you needed from your team members, or did you have to push them to publish the survey?

Answer: We tried to manage because of other events. We had the Christmas event also the exam session, I did not feel like pressing people for one thing, and other work like this interfered. It turned out as it turned out.

Akvilė Šimanskaitė KIF 22/4: At your Electoral Conference, I asked you what your three main strengths were. You answered: courage, communication and honesty. What about now? What are your three main points now, what would you improve in the position of Chairperson, what did you not manage to do, and where could you have put in more effort?

Answer: Thank you so much for the question, somewhat a jolly one, makes one wonder, I think. What I would distinguish is that sometimes I can be too soft in some aspects. If people require to be pushed, I think it would be best to not sit around, and say “okay, I will do it myself”, but instead, text, ask to help, tell them to do this and that. Basically, not be too naive. Then, I do not even know, probably to stay courageous, because I came here anyway, I stood here before, at the Electoral Conference, and I am standing here today. Maybe not everything worked out as we wanted it or planned it to, but still to not lose that courage, and at the same time, about not losing that social aspect and just continue, because then I would not be scared to talk with new people and try to discuss things. So, that would be it.

Saulius Paukštė LSS: Before, at the 4.1 point you mentioned that during this reporting period you did not do a lot with SPC, so first of all, I want to add some context that the Centre for Quality Assessment in Higher Education, which accredits study programs,

i.e allows study programs to take place in Lithuania, at this time is changing the assessment model in a way, that soon enough the Internal Quality Assessment Assurance system, or as we call it – SPC will play an important role. So, the Central Office, reacting to such changes, conducted Representative trainings, where they had students of SPC. So, two questions arise from me: How many students of SPC participated in those conducted trainings? Why did you not do anything?

Answers: How many participated? I do not think that it was a lot. Why we did not do anything? Well, as I have stated before, it was either lack of time, because the exam session was in the middle of our half-year term, then we had the event. So, we tried to indulge in the work, and there are people in my team that also have jobs, then on top of that we had our lives to take care of. I know I am trying to get away from answering the question, but I honestly do not know. It happened as it happened. This is, once again, the same answer – it happened as it happened. We did not manage to normally organize all our plans, even though our mission was to strengthen ties with SPC Leaders, and try to communicate, but it did not work out as we wanted it to.

Addition to the question: You are the Student Representation, afterall, can you name three SAAC area goals, which you have accomplished in this past half-year?

Answer: I think that I can delegate this question to Evilija, because I think she knows this better. Will you answer, or should I talk?

Evilija Aida Jasiūnaitė answers: Maybe you can comment more about the goals.

Marija Klemkaitė answers: Yes, so we still conducted the survey about Student Mentors, as we actually wanted to do that ourselves, so it was to like think what first-year students really think about their Mentors. Then, we started the inner accreditation process, as I remember, because then it just disappeared, as we understand, so we just wanted to do one at our faculty like a trial accreditation for new SR members, because

when they go to the actual accreditation, they would be ready, know what is going on. And I think that is it.

9. Presentation of the VILNIUS TECH SR CIF financial report

Marija Klemkaitė, Chairperson for the VILNIUS TECH SR CIF, presents the VILNIUS TECH SR CIF financial report for the period of October 14th, 2025 – February 3rd, 2026.

10. Q&A session

Marija Klemkaitė, Chairperson for the VILNIUS TECH SR CIF, answers question that arise about the VILNIUS TECH SR CIF financial report for the period of October 14th, 2025, February 3rd, 2026.

Goda Šarauskaitė CB: You, yourself, mentioned that you organized the event “CIF Christmas”, how did you organize it without any expenses or revenue?

Answer: We have a very good Dean, who, from the Deanery’s money agreed to finance the event, so we did not have to take any money from our bank card.

Goda Šarauskaitė CB: If you have your own bank card, you only activated it on January 12th. Did you have some kind of other bank card in Dagne’s name and were using it, or was there no money movement?

Answer: No, we just did not use it.

Vėjas Strelčiūnas (Chairperson of the conference): Those 16 euros, which were received for the participants fee and 53 euros, which were also received for the participants fee, is it the same participants fee or is it a different one?

Answer: This is a different participants fee, because one of our team members accidentally transferred 16 euros to my personal bank account.

11. VILNIUS TECH SR CIF activities and financial report confirmations

Results from the vote of the VILNIUS TECH SR CIF activity report:

Number of participating Representatives who voted – 26

For – 19

Against – 0

Abstained– 7

Results from the vote of the VILNIUS TECH SR CIF financial report:

Number of participating Représenattives who voted – 26

For – 25

Against – 0

Abstained– 1

12. Candidate’s introductions for the Chairperson of VILNIUS TECH SR CIF

Candidates introduce themselves for the position of Chairperson of the VILNIUS TECH SR CIF: Emilija Mikavičiūtė

13. Q&A session

Candidates for the position of Chairperson of the VILNIUS TECH SR CIF answer questions that arise.

Miglė Česonytė PKF-22/2: I read your motivation letter, why do the goals in your motivational letter not match with the team’s goals? Does the team not agree on your vision, or what?

Answer: Thank you for your question. In my motivational letter there are not set goals, only a general note to strengthen the community and represent students, so I think that with the goals set with the team, we will be able to do that.

Addition to the question: You have written that you want to strengthen cooperation between the Deanery and students. Can you clarify why you did not include that in your main goals? And how do you imagine the strengthening of ties?

Answer: Because I am a first-year, first of all, I would like to find out what the current situation is, what are the ties. After that, conduct meetings and communicate, always follow the problems. Why I did not include that in my goals is that it is one of those things that the Student Representation should already be doing.

Amelija Žakšauskaitė (PPF 24/1): As you are a first-year and the majority of your team are too, I wanted to ask how you are basing your competence. What did you learn at the CLP? Can you name something that you know better than you did in September?

Answer: My team was prepared not only in the CLP, but also by the team that just finished their term, as well as the older team. It is an experience, that I think was transferred. And everyone has been a part of such similar activities, they have quite a bit of experience from before, somewhat related. Furthermore, we still have enough time to learn.

Augustė Žvirblytė (KIF 23/3): You showed us your goals, which are all internal, meant to strengthen the Student Representation. Have you set any goals that would be external, for the welfare of students?

Answer: I would like to go back to the goals. External goals for the welfare of students, I think would be to strengthen ties with the Academic Group Representatives, because without the Representatives there would not be anything. And that is exact cooperation and communication with Representatives brings benefit to students. Plus, working together with other faculties' Student Representations is creating a community. That is why I think all these things are for the welfare of students.

Amelija Žakšauskaitė (PPF 24/1): What is the reason that the majority of your team is first-years, why did more people not stay and continue a second term or why were you unsuccessful in finding more senior students?

Answer: I came to the Student Representation and saw these people at their best, so that is probably why, they were the most active and contributed greatly to work.

Simas Pozniakovas (guest): You mentioned that you organized “CIF Christmas”, the previous Chairperson mentioned that in January you had the exam session, so how much worth did one of the organized CLP actually bring, you mentioned there were multiple. So how important and valuable to you, as Chairperson, is the previous team’s organized CLP, if January was the exam session, but in December you organized the “CIF Christmas”? Because to organize an event is really not a few days’ work.

Answer: I can probably now say that more information is needed, but now I hope that in near time I will learn as much, as I can and will be ready to continue learning and improve.

Addition to the question: I just want to praise you for your courage, and that you are not afraid to try, listen and learn.

Saulius Paukštė (LSS): I already asked the previous Chairperson about the SPC, so I want to ask you the same. Are you planning on making the SPC activities active?

Answer: Thank you for your question. Indeed, I would like to get to know more about the SPC situation, what is the current situation, and then tackle strengthening communication and make the current situation better both with Student Mentors and SPC. We will really try to make the situation better, and the Vice Chairperson is very motivated to do so.

Miglė Česonytė (PKF-22/2): I want to check, there will be two SAAC’s?

Answer: Yes.

Addition to the question: Then I want to really praise you, because I am very happy to see that there will be two SAAC's, as I think it is a very necessary thing. I want to praise the whole team for this decision.

Saulius Paukštė (LSS): In one word, tell me what is more important: SPC or Student Mentors?

Answer: I cannot choose what is more important to me, but if you really need an answer, then, okay – SPC. Both of these things are important, SPC is meant to strengthen our Study Programs, and Student Mentors are there to prepare and help new students at the start of their studies. That is why communication is important for both.

Justas Grigavičius (guest): You mentioned, that from your team, the Vice Chairperson is very motivated, so why could you not delegate the question, when she wanted to answer?

Answer: Not because I did not want her to answer, I just that that at this current moment, as we are fresh, I should be the one to answer. (applause)

Vėjas Strelčiūnas (Chairperson of the conference): What do you think you have brought over to your role from the Lithuanian School Students' Union?

Answer: Well, because I spent a lot of time there, I think a big part of my competence comes from there exactly. So, I think that, as I have mentioned, my skills: courage, responsibility, time management leadership, team management, all of those skills come from LSSU.

Vėjas Strelčiūnas (Chairperson of the conference): You are a first-year and have been at the University for half a year, what do you think is the biggest academic problem, which is relative not only at this faculty, that if you could, and wanted to, what would you solve quickly right now?

Answer: I think that all problems, that the Academic Group Representatives relay are very important, whether they would be associated with lecturers, timetables, or student mentors, I think that problems which are replayed by the Representatives should be solved.

14. Election of the Chairperson for VILNIUS TECH SR CIF;

15. Presentation of the vote results for Chairperson of VILNIUS TECH SR CIF;

Election results from the vote of Emilija Mikavičiūtė for Chairperson of VILNIUS TECH SA CIF:

*Number of participating Representatives who voted – 25
(One of the participants who had a mandate left.)*

For – 22

Against– 2

Abstained – 1

16. Candidate's introductions for the President of VILNIUS TECH SR;

Candidates introduce themselves for the position of President of the VILNIUS TECH SR: Gabrielė Kovalevskytė

17. Q&A session;

Akvilė Šimanskaitė (KIF 22/4): You mentioned about funding the LSIC, where are you planning on getting the money for it?

Answer: We will try to communicate with the Administration, that it could cover all expenses.

Akvilė Šimanskaitė (KIF 22/4): In what way will the Ethics Committee work?

Answer: At this time, I do not recall and at this time, Ervinas has been working with the Ethics Committee, and everything is almost ready.

Debora Ariele Alesin (KIF 24/2): Attendance flexibility, can you elaborate what is your plan? Is it lecturer's reflection or what?

Answer: I did not say attendance flexibility, but study flexibility, that students, who do not have the ability to attend lectures, could do so remotely or justify attendance.

Simas Pozniakovas (guest): You mentioned Lithuanian and English speaking comparison steps, what are you planning to do in a year, do you have those steps?

Answers: I only have an idea to conduct surveys unifying the work.

Miglė Česonytė (PKF-22/2): Do you have a team?

Answer: There are only two members. I want to have two Vice Presidents, to reduce the workload. But, at this time, I only have two out of the whole team.

Augustė Žvirblytė (KIF-23/3): How are you planning on choosing your team members, to have a competent team?

Answer: I want to find leaders who are competent, there are a lot of them, but they are not decided, so I am working on that.

Saulius Paukštė (LSS): You have interacted with the previous team, have you seen any negative things that you would like to do better?

Answer: As I have seen, the Central Office lacks communication and I want to maintain communication.

Vėjas Strelčiūnas (Chairperson of the conference): You mentioned that wil analyze the labor market, like KTU is doing now, but is it not taking over the job from the employees of the University?

Answer: Maybe a bit, but I think that the job will be done faster.

Vėjas Strelčiūnas (Chairperson of the conference): You mentioned the dormitory model and their Representatives. This topic has been kicked around for two years, as you know, what has been done?

Answer: As I understand, there is miscommunication between the Leadership and we will try to solve that.

Simas Pozniakovas (guest): There is a known story that students, in the middle of the exam session, have been evicted from the dormitories. On one hand, the renovation is good, but done at a bad time. Is your priority going to do good now, or after 5 years?

Answer: It was not a good decision to evict students in the middle of the exam session, the work and other things were poorly planned. To evict students in the middle of the exam session from their dorms was not an idea, it was just not planned and not communicated. So, we will try to avoid this kind of situation in the future.

Addition to the question: What is more important to you, that good actions would be executed now or after a few years?

Answer: The plan is for the future.

18. Voting for the recommended candidate for the President of VILNIUS TECH SR;

**19. VILNIUS TECH SR CIF Election of Delegates to the VILNIUS TECH SR
Reportive-Electoral Representative Conference;**

Representatives that are willing and able are invited to attend the VILNIUS TECH SR Reportive-Electoral Representative Conference. On behalf of the faculty, 7 delegates are invited.

- Gabija Baltrūnaitė KIF 23/1
- Amelija Žakšauskaitė PPF 24/1
- Kotryna Grumblytė KIF 24/1
- Samanta Gudonytė PKF 22/1
- Deimantė Girčytė KIF 25/1
- Juta Šematovičiūtė RIF 25/1
- Atėnė Večkytė PPF 25/2

20. Closing of the conference

Chairperson of the conference

Secretary of the conference

Secretary of the conference

Vėjas Strelčiūnas

Evilija Aida Jasiūnaitė

Dominykas Borsak