VILNIUS GEDIMINAS TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY
STUDENTS' REPRESENTATION
FACULTY OF ARCHITECTURE
MINUTES OF THE REPORTING–ELECTORAL CONFERENCE OF STUDENT
GROUP REPRESENTATIVES

2 February 2026
Trakų St. 1, Room SNR 3.10
Conference registration start – 18:30

Conference Chair: Austėja Bernatavičiūtė
Conference Secretaries: Agnė Rūtelionytė, Lėja Martinėnaitė, Miglė Šyvytė

AGENDA:

1. Registration;

2. Opening of the Conference;

3. Election of the Conference Chair and Secretaries;

4. Approval of the Agenda;

5. Election of the Vote Counting Commission;

6. Verification of Quorum;

7. Presentation of the Activity Report of VILNIUS TECH SR AF;

8. Q&A Session;

9. Presentation of the Financial Report of VILNIUS TECH SR AF;

10. Q&A Session;

11. Approval of the Activity and Financial Reports of VILNIUS TECH SR AF;

12. Introduction of Candidates for the Position of Chair of VILNIUS TECH SR AF;

13. Q&A Session;

14. Election of the Chair of VILNIUS TECH SR AF;

15. Introduction of Candidates for the Position of President of VILNIUS TECH SR;

16. Q&A Session;

17. Vote on Recommendation for the Position of President of VILNIUS TECH SR;

18. Presentation of the Results of the Election of the Chair of VILNIUS TECH SR AF;

19. Election of Delegates to the General Ordinary Reporting–Electoral Conference of VILNIUS TECH SR;

20. Closing of the Conference.

1. Registration
16 student group representatives out of 21 registered. A quorum is present; the conference may proceed.

2. Opening of the conference
The Chair of VILNIUS TECH SR AF, Paulina Avižienytė, opens the conference.

3. Election of the Conference Chairperson and Secretaries
 Austėja Bernatavičiūtė was nominated as the Conference Chairperson. Approved by consensus.
 Miglė Šyvytė, Lėja Martinėnaitė, and Agnė Rūtelionytė were nominated as Conference Secretaries. Approved by consensus.

4. Approval of the Agenda
 Proposal for an amendment to the agenda:
 The agenda was approved by consensus.

5. Election of the Vote Counting Commission
 The following were nominated to the vote counting commission: Vytautas Jasiulionis

(guest), Goda Šarauskaitė (guest), and Agnė Kazulėnaitė (guest).
Elected to the vote counting commission by consensus: Vytautas Jasiulionis (guest), Goda Šarauskaitė (guest), and Agnė Kazulėnaitė (guest).

6. Quorum Verification
The quorum was verified. Sixteen out of twenty-one representatives are present at the conference. A quorum is present; the conference may proceed.

7. Presentation of the VGTU SR AF Activity Report
The Chairperson of VGTU SR AF, Paulina Avižienytė, presents the VGTU SA AF activity report for the period from 15 October 2025 to 2 February 2026.

8. Question and Answer Session
The Chairperson of VGTU SR AF, Paulina Avižienytė, answers questions regarding the VGTU SR AF activity report for the period from 15 October 2025 to 2 February 2026.

Question (Agna Petkevičiūtė, Afa 22/1): Please return to the slide. Here you wrote that you contributed to the starting SPK working group. What is it, how does it look, what is the goal of those working groups?

Answer (Agnė Rūtelionytė, Vice-Chair of SR AF): This semester two working groups were supposed to start. They did start, but their development did not gain momentum and should continue next semester.

Follow-up question: But does this take place in the faculty?

Answer: No, this takes place in the Central Bureau. Vice-chairs worked on this.

Follow-up question: What is the goal of those working groups?

Answer: Regarding SPK, I am not fully sure, but regarding conference analysis, together with Gabrielė we had to do analyses, organize translations and other things, so that future generations would have clear information for conferences and for making minutes.

Answer (Austėja Bernatavičiūtė, CB Vice-President): Since we promote internationalization, we translate processes so that if needed they could take place in English, because previously they were not described. As conferences are moved to another reporting period, these working groups are organized exactly for that purpose.

Follow-up question: Okay, then regarding SPK working groups, what is their analysis?

Answer (Austėja Bernatavičiūtė, CB Vice-President): With SPK, what started was that the initial goal of the working group was to look at how the groups work, what could be better. There was a wish expressed to review student representatives' letters, maybe find a more convenient way to transfer information from one group of people to another. So the beginning was to look at how everything works, review information, how everything could work better, and move forward from that point.

Question (Smiltė Kiaunytė, guest, LSS): A question about SR funds – did you find where to use unused funds?

Answer (Paulina Avižienytė): Not yet, because as a representation we have funds allocated by the dean's office. We did not use them for any training or internal needs, and decided to leave them for students, for the benefit of students.

Follow-up question: If it is not a secret, what is the amount?

Answer (Assoc. Prof. Dr. Dalia Dijokienė): 400 euros.

Answer (Paulina Avižienytė): The budget is not huge, but in our plans we intend to cooperate with companies and thus slightly increase this amount.

Follow-up question: Did you try to supplement that amount through various connections?

Answer (Paulina Avižienytė): Specifically, we did not try. In general, student representation income is calculated based on the number of students, but negotiations are possible.

Question (Eglė Simanavičiūtė, guest): You have selected partners for the GERAS awards. Did you look for sponsors not only for GERAS awards but also for university needs?

Answer (Paulina Avižienytė): We looked for those that are relevant and related to our profession.

Follow-up question: Approximately, what percentage are architecture, PGD, and landscape architecture students?

Answer (Paulina Avižienytė): About 60–70 percent architecture, and the rest is shared by PGD and landscape architecture.

Question (Smiltė Kiaunytė, guest, LSS): What experience did you gain from VDA and other universities, for example regarding expenses?

Answer (Paulina Avižienytė): VDA is in a bad situation, because they do not have laser cutting and pay money themselves. We had nothing to learn from VDA, because their situation is worse than ours. KTU also incurs expenses and asks us how to deal with it. KTU also tried writing projects to obtain funding.

Question (Ervin Borkovski, President): Thank you for the presentation. I have a general question, not only from the reporting period but overall. Which activity area or problematic area would you emphasize for the next Chair?

Answer (Paulina Avižienytė): I would say ensuring feedback between student representatives, students, and administration.

9. Presentation of the VGTU SR AF Financial Report
The Chairperson of VGTU SA AF, Paulina Avižienytė, presents the VGTU SA AF financial report for the period from 15 October 2025 to 2 February 2026.

10. Question and Answer Session
The Chairperson of VGTU SR AF, Paulina Avižienytė, answers questions regarding the VGTU SR AF financial report for the period from 15 October 2025 to 2 February 2026.

Question (Smiltė Kiaunytė, guest, LSS): Could you return to the slide? The numbers differ. Could you clarify why the amount differs, why the income surplus is so small, is this a lack of sponsorship?

Answer (Paulina Avižienytė): Regarding income, since the beginning of October we did not write to any sponsors.

Follow-up question: Why did you not contact sponsors during this period?

Answer: We were checking companies and looking whether they cooperate with russia. This checking takes quite a long time.

Follow-up question: Could you please clarify the amount as well?

Answer: 13.10 is the correct amount.

Question (Eglė Simanavičiūtė, guest): Since October, were there no more expenses? Did you not incur any expenses for first-year student integration?

Answer (Paulina Avižienytė): There were expenses during trainings, but since VVF was responsible for finances, they have the reports.

11. VILNIUS TECH SR AF approval of the activity and financial reports

Vote on approval of the activity report:

Number of student group representatives participating in the vote – 16

In favor – 15

Against – 0

Abstained – 1

Vote on approval of the financial report:

Number of student group representatives participating in the vote – 16

In favor – 15

Against – 0

Abstained – 1

12. Introduction of candidates for the postition of chair of VILNIUS TECH SR AF

Candidates introduce themselves for the position of Chair of VILNIUS TECH SR AF: Julijus Klimka, Agnė Rūtelionytė.

13. Q&A session

Question (Dominyka Bulavaitė, Arfau-23): Julijus, a question for you. You are in the student representation. In which committees are you?

Answer (Julijus Klimka): Public Relations.

Question (Smiltė Kiaunytė, guest): What is your essential goal for this year and what can you promise to your student group representatives?

Answer (Julijus Klimka): First, the most important thing is the person. It is necessary to strengthen the connection between student representation members and students.

Follow-up question: How will you achieve this?

Answer (Julijus Klimka): I want to organize events, for example Christmas events or Easter celebrations, informal events.

Answer (Agnė Rūtelionytė): I agree with Julijus and want to say that as Vice-Chair I know that currently there is a weak connection between student group representatives. I would try to strengthen communication and organize meetings with deans.

Follow-up question (to both): Do you think that the lack of events is the biggest problem?

Answer (both candidates): No.

Question for both (Indrė Svetikaitė, student group representative delegate): We know that everywhere there are advantages and disadvantages. Could you name one disadvantage and one advantage of the representation?

Answer (Agnė Rūtelionytė): First-year student integration and their support in the representation. Together with the team we discussed how to encourage members to stay in the representation. I do not know specifically how I would maintain this, but I know that motivation is needed, even material, for example a sweater for a real member, that would be encouragement to stay in the representation.

Answer (Julijus Klimka): I would answer similarly.

Follow-up question: Could you expand?

Answer (Julijus Klimka): The person, communication. The disadvantage is that there is no sense of community, and how to fix this is to organize celebrations, but not only that.

Question (Dominika Bulavaitė, Arfau-23) to Agnė: Theoretically. For example, what would you do if the English-language group was split into Lithuanian groups, and Lithuanians would be forced to study in English and receive an English diploma?

Answer (Agnė Rūtelionytė): I have heard about this problem. I do not yet know how exactly, but we would definitely solve it.

Question (Central Bureau) to Julijus: How important do you think the integration of international students is?

Answer (Julijus Klimka): I personally did not meet much with international students, but it is important.

Follow-up question: How do you plan to represent them, since they do not attend meetings?

Answer (Julijus Klimka): I did not think about this. Still, I did not set this as one of my goals, but together with the administration or other people we would find a solution.

Question (Central Bureau) to Julijus: Do you not have an international SARK coordinator?

Answer (Julijus Klimka): I have two SARK members. I would consider a person for that position. I was told that currently I do not have to have a full team.

Response (Paulina Avižienytė, Chairperson): I would like to return to Dominyka's question, as we did not have time to answer it earlier, and I would like to give the Dean the floor.

Statement (Dominika Bulavaitė, Arfau-23): I am worried about international students and their studies.

Answer (Assoc. Prof. Dr. Dalia Dijokienė): We lost one lecturer for the third year who taught in English. For half a year we did not find a lecturer who could replace her, therefore it was decided to split the English-language group into Lithuanian groups, but lecturers speak English with them.

Additional question: Vakare and I attended class representatives' meetings, and there were several complaints that groups are divided into two parts, with English-speaking students attending lectures together with Lithuanian-speaking students and being taught in Lithuanian.

Response (Assoc. Prof. Dr. Dalia Dijokienė): I am aware of this issue with senior-year students, but for the third-year students, theoretical lectures are specifically prepared in English, and practical sessions are also conducted in English.

Question (Ervin Borkovski, President) to Agnė: Why are there two people in the Public Relations position?

Answer (Agnė Rūtelionytė): Both candidates were highly motivated for this position. I didn't want to choose only one, because to me they are very evenly matched. I believe they will be able to divide responsibilities between alumni relations, first-year student integration, and trainings.

Follow-up question: How will you coordinate similar division of responsibilities so that one person would not shift blame for unfinished work to the other?

Answer (Agnė Rūtelionytė): I would divide responsibilities into first-year student integration and representation trainings.

Question (Agna Petkevičiūtė, Afa 22/1) to Agnė: You mentioned that you want to contribute to student psychological well-being activities. However, students do not engage. How will you encourage engagement, what is more important?

Answer (Agnė Rūtelionytė): We had two meetings with the psychologist, but only a small number of students attended. We should coordinate the timing better and invite engaging speakers, especially since these should be workshops rather than purely theoretical lectures. I hope this would attract more students, as the topic is very relevant. Based on the discussions we had with the course representatives, everyone agrees that it's important, yet very few actually show up to these sessions. I hope the next event will gather a larger group of participants.

Question (Agna Petkevičiūtė, Afa 22/1) to Julijus: You said you will strengthen connection with the community. How will you do this?

Answer (Julijus Klimka): I have not fully thought this through, but events, informal meetings, and I could think more about it.

Question (Ervin Borkovski, President) to Julijus: One of the main differences between the two candidates is that you have not held any positions until now. Could you name your advantages?

Answer (Julijus Klimka): I have an argument, but I do not want to say it. I used to be a student group representative. That is one position. I was a member of LMS. In gymnasium I did not participate much in this activity.

Question (Indrė Svetikaitė, student group representative delegate) to both: Both of you come from study programs that are among the smaller ones in our faculty. An important question for me is student exchange opportunities, compared to Architecture students, we have fewer options to go abroad. How would you encourage the administration to expand this list so that smaller programs have more choices and are placed on a more equal footing, with similar opportunities and rights as Architecture students?

Answer (Agnė Rūtelionytė): This is a very good and relevant question. We would need to talk to our Vice-Dean, who is responsible for this area. I have looked into it quite a lot and found that there are universities offering the programs we need, but our university does not collaborate with those institutions or their departments. We would need to start by sending letters, because you can contact universities and departments yourself, gather the information, and then present everything to the Vice-Dean. However, to reduce the workload on the student, it would be important to discuss this with the Vice-Dean directly, as this issue is truly significant.

Answer (Julijus Klimka): I do not have enough experience, therefore I cannot answer this question.

Question (Agna Petkevičiūtė) to both: There is a visible decrease in architecture students' involvement in the representation. Do you think this is a problem and what should be done?

Answer (Julijus Klimka): I think this is not a problem. Numbers change. It happened that our course became more active. I joined the SR, then I invited a friend, and that's how it all came together.

Answer (Agnė Rūtelionytė): I also noticed fluctuation. Before I joined, there were many architects in the representation. You cannot predict who will be motivated. I am glad that students from smaller programs are getting involved: PGD and landscape architecture.

Follow-up question: Regarding retention of students in the student representation. Have you thought about what you would do so that students would not leave and would represent students longer?

Answer (Agnė Rūtelionytė): I have not fully formed an answer. I think everything starts from the middle. We need to become one big team. For example, the previous team stayed together because people were close.

Answer (Julijus Klimka): I have an example. One person is a photographer and wants only to photograph and not participate in other SR activities. I treat the representation as voluntary activity.

Question (Baltrus Vileikis, KAmf-25) to both: We all have problematic lecturers. As Chairs, how would you handle such situations?

Answer (Agnė Rūtelionytė): I have heard complaints about more than one lecturer. We try to communicate as well as possible. At the moment I do not have much knowledge, but I know how this communication works.

Answer (Julijus Klimka): Difficult times strengthen people. I want to say that for half a year I had a bad lecturer, now a good lecturer came, so it will be better, but this problem needs attention.

Question (Eglė Simanavičiūtė, guest) to both: How would you establish connection with older, more distant students, for example fifth-year students?

Answer (Agnė Rūtelionytė): Meetings are held where everyone says their problems and they are passed on. You cannot force a person to join meetings if they do not want to. This is a matter of mutual connection.

Answer (Julijus Klimka): Students who are far from us are busy with other things. It would be necessary to strengthen connection, for example with online meetings.

Election of the chair of VILNIUS TECH SR AF

Student group representatives elect the new Chair of VILNIUS TECH SR AF by secret ballot.

Introduction of candidates for the position of president of VILNIUS TECH SR

The candidate for the position of President of VILNIUS TECH SR introduces herself: Gabrielė Kovalevskytė.

Q&A session;

The candidates for the VGTU SA President position are answering the questions that arise.

Question (Agna Petkevičiūtė, Afa 22/1): Thank you for the presentation, it was truly informative. I'd like to ask whether you already have your team and if all positions are filled.

Answer (Gabrielė Kovalevskytė): I do not have a full team, because I select only the most suitable candidates.

Additional question: Which members are you currently lacking?

Response: At the moment, my goal is to have two Vice Presidents. Currently, I do not have a second Vice President, I do not have a TSRV, I do not have an RSV, I possibly have an HR, I do have a Head of Academic Affairs, I do not have IT, and I do not have an Administration team.

Additional question: Do you have a Project Manager?

Response: No, I do not.

Question (Smiltė Kiaunytė, guest, LSS): Many of your goals are taken from the guidelines of the former president, Ervinas. On the one hand, this is good, because continuity in organizations is important; however, on the other hand, I am curious about the source of your motivation. You are running for a one-year term, while the scope of your guidelines is similar to Ervinas's, whose term lasted one and a half years, so the scope of the guidelines seems very large and difficult to implement. Are you strongly confident that you can complete your guidelines, and how do you assess them in terms of time when planning them?

Response: I try to assess the overall workload; however, at the moment I cannot fully evaluate it, as I do not yet have a complete team. Of course, I will try to find motivated individuals who would truly be able to carry out all the tasks. Perhaps my thinking is a bit unrealistic, but I am motivated and I am striving to achieve all of my goals.

Follow-up question: Name one goal that you will definitely implement.

Answer (Gabrielė Kovalevskytė): Improvement of study programs and implementation of ethics trainings, this is important.

Follow-up question: Your CV mostly shows activities in Vilnius Tech SR. Have you done anything outside of this?

Answer (Gabrielė Kovalevskytė): Previously I was a shy person and did not always dare to participate. I was in a gymnasium choir and participated in some camps where I helped with organization, but I did not include this because it was a long time ago.

Question (Smiltė Kiaunytė, guest): When forming your team, will you consider faculties? Previously many members were from FSF. Will you pay attention to having people from different faculties or do you not see this as a priority?

Answer (Gabrielė Kovalevskytė): I do not think the team must be from one faculty. Even though I am from FSF, I would not select only FSF members. I focus on motivation and competencies.

Question (Eglė Simanavičiūtė, guest): Could you list 3 tasks that you have completed?

Answer (Gabrielė Kovalevskytė): Currently I contribute to the document restructuring working group. I have not yet been actively involved elsewhere, document-wise.

Question (Agna Petkevičiūtė, Afa 22/1): The issue of English-language teaching was raised before but not implemented. What do you think prevented it and how would you implement it?

Answer (Gabrielė Kovalevskytė): I wouldn't be able to say why that goal wasn't achieved. However, as the future president, I will certainly aim to form working groups. We should focus on communication and on students studying in English — what shortcomings they notice in lecturers who teach in English. I personally encountered the issue that some lecturers lack the ability to teach in English, so I will work to ensure that lecturers receive some form of training.

Follow-up question: What level of English should lecturers have?

Answer (Gabrielė Kovalevskytė): The required level should be B2, which is also the level expected from students — they must pass exams at this level. I would aim to ensure consistency so that both students and lecturers have language skills at the same standard, although more work is still needed in this area

Election of the president of VILNIUS TECH SR;

Student group representatives elect the new President of VILNIUS TECH SR by secret ballot.

Presentation of the results of the election of the chair of VILNIUS TECH SR AF;

Number of student group representatives participating in the vote – 16

The voting was conducted by secret ballot.

Election of delegates of VILNIUS TECH SR AF to the general ordinary reporting–electoral conference of VILNIUS TECH SR;

On behalf of the faculty, 5 delegates are invited: Indrė Svetikaitė (PGD-24), Agna Petkevičiūtė (Afa 22/1), Torinas Čiukna (Afa 25/1), Dominyka Bulavaitė (Arfau-23), Lėja Martinėnaitė (PGD-25).

Approval of delegates:

Present – 16

In favor – 14

Against – 1

Abstained – 1

Closing of the conference

Conference Chair	Austėja Bernatavičiūtė
Conference Secretary	Agnė Rūtelionytė
Conference Secretary	Lėja Martinėnaitė
Conference Secretary	Miglė Šyvytė

VILNIAUS GEDIMINO TECHNIKOS UNIVERSITETO STUDENTŲ ATSTOVYBĖS
ARCHITEKTŪROS FAKULTETE

Ataskaitinės - rinkiminės seniūnų konferencijos

balsų skaičiavimo komisijos protokolas

2026 m. vasario 2 d.
Trakų g. 1, 3.10

Į balsų skaičiavimo komisiją buvo išrinkti:

1. *Vytautas Jeniulionis*
2. *Agnė Vazulkaitė*
3. *Goda Šaranskaitė*
4. ....
5. ....

Balsų skaičiavimo komisijos pirmininkas: *Goda Šaranskaitė*

1. Veiklos ataskaitos tvirtinimas:

   Už – *15*

   Prieš – *0*

   Susilaikė – *1*

2. Finansinės ataskaitos tvirtinimas:

   Už – *15*

   Prieš – *0*

   Susilaikė – *1*

Trakų g. 1, T1 (SNR-I) 3.10, 2026 m. Vasario 2 d.

VGTU SA AF ataskaitinės - rinkiminės seniūnų konferencijos
SENIŪNŲ/KONTAKTINIŲ ASMENŲ SĄRAŠAS

Nr.	Vardas	Pavardė	Akademinė grupė	Parašas
1.	Emilija	Romancikaitė	AFo 23/1	
2.	Šaurė	Malinauskaitė	AM 2412	
3.	Dominyka	Bulavaitė	Arfou 23	
4.	Miglė	Paharrskaitė	AFo 223/2	
5.	Ega	Martiniuaitė	PGD-23	
6.	Agno	Petkevičiūtė	Ala 22/1	
7.	Emilija	Stanelytė	AFA 24/1	
8.	Milena	Zhlanova	AFou-24	
9	Ieva	Skouzclytė	PGD-22	

Nr.	Vardas	Pavardė	Akademinė grupė	Parašas
10	ŽIVILĖ	PETRAUSKAITĖ	AFA 25/2	
11	Martyna	Žilinskaitė	KA-24	
12	Bq Vi...	Vileikis	KAmf-2S	
13	TORINAS	ČIUKNA	AFA-25/1	
14	Deimantė	Vaicieiulytė		

Trakų g. 1, T1 (SNR-I) 3.10, 2026 m. Vasario 2 d.

VGTU SA AF ataskaitinės - rinkiminės seniūnų konferencijos
DELEGATŲ SĄRAŠAS

Nr.	Vardas	Pavardė	Akademinė grupė	Parašas
1.	Indrė	Svetikaitė	PGD-24	
2.	Kamilė	Kuranoraitė	Ata 23/2	
3.	TODMAS	CIYENA	AFD2511	
.				
.				
.				
.				
.				
.				
.				
.				

Trakų g. 1, T1 (SNR-I) 3.10, 2026 m. Vasario 2 d.

VGTU SA AF ataskaitinės - rinkiminės seniūnų konferencijos
SVEČIŲ SĄRAŠAS

Nr.	Vardas	Pavardė	Akademinė grupė	Parašas
1.	Reda	Žilėnaitė	MVDf-2213	Rl -
2.	Ainoras	Domutis	PRIf-23/1	
3.	Indre	Sveikauté	PGIO-24	
4.	Ewin	Borkovski	PRIf-212	
5.	Gotoučé	Kovalevskyté	MKDfu-24	
6.	Gola	Saraustaite	Fif-03M	
7.	Justa	Grigalsizis	ECf-04	
8.	Ngtauta	Gainiukonis	Hf-24	
9.	Vakavé	Budafi	AfFav-23	

Nr.	Vardas	Pavardė	Akademinė grupė	Parašas
10.	Agnė	Karalevaitė	WF'24	
11	Giedrius	Mikalevičius	FIF-22/1	
12	Saiva	Sanderskyt	AFA-22/1	
13	Eglė	Simanavičiūtė	Aluoni	
14	Kaju	Lev	PFD-23/1	
15	Viktja	Žemaigavonilč	WFF-25/2	
16	Rugilė	Kisnowičiūtė	AFA 23/1	
12	Saulė	Kaneryd	AFA 21/1	
18	Migli	Šyutė	AFA 24/1	
19.	Domielius	Božielis	PGD-25	
20.	Daimante	Vaesiulyt	KIF-25	
21	Gabrielė Gečiūraitė		AFA-23/1	
22	Skaistė	Mažeikaitė	AFA-25/1	